## Playing with type classes

Based on the paper "Generic Libraries in C++ with Concepts from High-Level Domain Descriptions in Haskell — A Domain-Specific Library for Computational Vulnerability Assessment"

### Patrik Jansson, fp.set.cse.chalmers.se

### Chalmers FP workshop 2009

(Joint work with M. Zalewski (was at Chalmers), D. Lincke and C. lonescu at PIK = Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research.)

# Application area: Computational Vulnerability Assessment

- ► Complex models: ocean, atmosphere, biosphere, economy etc.
- Provide basic data for political decisions in the climate area.
- Measure the possible harm of future evolutions

# Application area: Computational Vulnerability Assessment

- ► Complex models: ocean, atmosphere, biosphere, economy etc.
- Provide basic data for political decisions in the climate area.
- Measure the possible harm of future evolutions

```
vulnerability :: State -> V
vulnerability = measure . fmap harm . possible
```

```
vulnerability :: State -> V
vulnerability = measure . fmap harm . possible
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

```
vulnerability :: State -> V
vulnerability = measure . fmap harm . possible
```

```
type Evolution = [State]
possible :: State -> M Evolution
harm :: Evolution -> Harm
measure :: M Harm -> V
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

```
vulnerability :: State -> V
vulnerability = measure . fmap harm . possible
```

```
type Evolution = [State]
possible :: State -> M Evolution
harm :: Evolution -> Harm
measure :: M Harm -> V
```

#### Examples:

type M = Id -- Deterministic system type M = [] -- Non-deterministic system type M = SimpleProb -- Probabilistic system newtype SimpleProb a = SP [(a, Double)]

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

```
vulnerability :: State -> V
vulnerability = measure . fmap harm . possible
```

```
type Evolution = [State]
possible :: State -> M Evolution
harm :: Evolution -> Harm
measure :: M Harm -> V
```

#### Examples:

type	M =	= Id	- Deterministic system
type	M =	= []	Non-deterministic system
type	M =	= SimpleProb	– Probabilistic system
newty	pe	SimpleProb a =	= SP [(a, Double)]

type Harm = (LivesLost, EconomicLoss)
type V = Harm

- 4 同 2 4 回 2 4 U

## Calculate possible evolutions

possible :: State -> M [State]

## Calculate possible evolutions

possible :: State -> M [State]

We model a monadic dynamic system (MDS) as a function

sys :: (Monad m) =>  $i \rightarrow (x \rightarrow m x)$ 

where i is for input (for example greenhouse gas emission / year)

## From Haskell to C++

sys :: (Monad m) =>  $i \rightarrow (x \rightarrow m x)$ 

### This Haskell model uses

- ▶ a constructor class Monad m,
- ► a type constructor application m x,
- ▶ a monadic transition function  $x \rightarrow m x$  and
- currying i -> (x -> m x).

We represent all of these in C++ + concepts (with some effort...).

Functions / arrows in C++ and Haskell

We model a type like  $a \rightarrow b$  with some Arr in the concept Arrow1.

```
concept Arrow1<class Arr> { // in C++0x
  typename Domain;
  typename Codomain;
  Codomain operator () (Arr, Domain);
};
```

Functions / arrows in C++ and Haskell

We model a type like  $a \rightarrow b$  with some Arr in the concept Arrow1.

```
concept Arrow1<class Arr> { // in C++0x
  typename Domain;
  typename Codomain;
  Codomain operator () (Arr, Domain);
};
```

#### or with a type class

class Arrow1 arr where -- in Haskell
type Domain arr
type Codomain arr
(!) :: arr -> (Domain arr -> Codomain arr)

I will mainly use Haskell syntax but the library is written in C++.

Exercise: Normal functions are arrows ....

< ∃ →

э

Exercise: Normal functions are arrows ....

```
instance Arrow1 (a->b) where
type Domain (a->b) = a
type Codomain (a->b) = b
f ! x = f x
```

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Exercise: Normal functions are arrows ....

```
instance Arrow1 (a->b) where
  type Domain (a->b) = a
  type Codomain (a->b) = b
  f ! x = f x
```

... Exercise: pairs can also be seen as arrows (from Bool)

Exercise: Normal functions are arrows ....

```
instance Arrow1 (a->b) where
  type Domain (a->b) = a
  type Codomain (a->b) = b
  f ! x = f x
```

... Exercise: pairs can also be seen as arrows (from Bool)



Exercise: Normal functions are arrows ....

```
instance Arrow1 (a->b) where
  type Domain (a->b) = a
  type Codomain (a->b) = b
  f ! x = f x
```

... Exercise: pairs can also be seen as arrows (from Bool)



... and finite maps are arrows

```
import qualified Data.Map as FM
instance Ord a => Arrow1 (FM.Map a b) where
type Domain (FM.Map a b) = a
type Codomain (FM.Map a b) = b
(!) = (FM.!)
```

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

э

## Other encodings of functions

We have three types (t, dom, cod) where t = dom  $\rightarrow$  cod.

## Other encodings of functions

We have three types (t, dom, cod) where t = dom  $\rightarrow$  cod. Each t uniquely determines dom and cod

class Arrow1 t where type Dom t; type Cod t
 (!) :: arr -> (Domain arr -> Codomain arr)

Exercise: write the same with functional dependencies, without associated types.

## Other encodings of functions

We have three types (t, dom, cod) where t = dom  $\rightarrow$  cod. Each t uniquely determines dom and cod

class Arrow1 t where type Dom t; type Cod t
 (!) :: arr -> (Domain arr -> Codomain arr)

Exercise: write the same with functional dependencies, without associated types.

```
class Arrow1 t dom cod | t -> dom cod where (!) :: t -> dom -> cod
```

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod

class Arrow2 t dom where type Cod t dom

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod

class Arrow2 t dom where type Cod t dom

Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod							
class Arrow2 t dom	where	type	Cod	t	dom		
Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible							
class Arrow3 t dom	cod where						

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod

class Arrow2 t dom where type Cod t dom

Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible

class Arrow3 t dom cod where

Exercise: Each q determines the mapping from dom, cod to t

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod						
class Arrow2 t dom where	type	Cod	t	dom		
Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible						
class Arrow3 t dom cod where						
Exercise: Each ${\bf q}$ determines the mapping from dom, cod to ${\bf t}$						
class Arrow $(q::*->*->*)$ where $t = q = b$						

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod						
class Arrow2 t dom where	type	Cod	t	dom		
Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible						
class Arrow3 t dom cod where						
Exercise: Each ${\bf q}$ determines the mapping from dom, cod to ${\bf t}$						
class Arrow $(q::*->*->*)$ where $$ t = q a b						

Exercise: Each dom determines the mapping from cod to t

Exercise: Each pair t, dom determines cod						
class Arrow2 t dom where	type Cod t dom					
Exercise: All combinations of t, dom, cod possible						
class Arrow3 t dom cod where						
Exercise: Each q determines the mapping from dom, cod to t						
class Arrow $(q::*->*->*)$ where $t = q = b$						
Exercise: Each dom determines the mapping from cod to t						
class ArrowFrom dom where type T	[ab dom :: * -> *					

class ArrowFrom dom where type Tab dom :: \* -> \* (!) :: (Tab dom cod) -> (dom -> cod)

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

```
class ArrowFrom dom where
  type (:->) dom :: * -> *
  (!) :: (dom :-> cod) -> (dom -> cod)
```

Exercise: ArrowFrom Bool

э

```
class ArrowFrom dom where
  type (:->) dom :: * -> *
  (!) :: (dom :-> cod) -> (dom -> cod)
```

#### Exercise: ArrowFrom Bool

```
data Two a = Two a a
instance ArrowFrom Bool where
type (:->) Bool = Two
Two f t ! False = f
Two f t ! True = t
```

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

```
class ArrowFrom dom where
  type (:->) dom :: * -> *
  (!) :: (dom :-> cod) -> (dom -> cod)
```

#### Exercise: ArrowFrom Bool

```
data Two a = Two a a
instance ArrowFrom Bool where
type (:->) Bool = Two
Two f t ! False = f
Two f t ! True = t
```

Exercise: ArrowFrom Nat

```
class ArrowFrom dom where
  type (:->) dom :: * -> *
  (!) :: (dom :-> cod) -> (dom -> cod)
```

#### Exercise: ArrowFrom Bool

```
data Two a = Two a a
instance ArrowFrom Bool where
type (:->) Bool = Two
Two f t ! False = f
Two f t ! True = t
```

Exercise: ArrowFrom Nat

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
data Stream a = a :< Stream a
ones = 1 :< ones
instance ArrowFrom Nat where
type (:->) Nat = Stream
a :< _ ! Z = a
_ :< as ! S n = as ! n</pre>
```

## More ArrowFrom instances

## More ArrowFrom instances

# Other concepts / type classes

class	(Arrow carr,	Arrow	(Codomain	carr)) =>
	CurriedArrow	carr		
instance	(Arrow carr,	Arrow	(Codomain	carr)) =>
	CurriedArrow	carr		

```
class ConstructedType t where
    type Inner t
instance Functor f => ConstructedType (f a)
    where type Inner (f a) = a
```

# Monads get ugly

```
class ( Arrow arr
      , ConstructedType mx
      , ConstructedType (Codomain arr)
      , SameType (Inner mx) (Domain arr)
      , SameTypeConstructor mx (Codomain arr)
      ) => MBindable mx arr where
  mbind :: mx -> arr -> Codomain arr
-- sanity check
instance (Functor m, Monad m) =>
         MBindable (m a) (a \rightarrow m b) where
  mbind = (>>=)
class ConstructedType mx => MReturnable mx where
  mreturn :: Inner mx -> mx
```

## Contributions

- a simple model for vulnerability assessment
- concepts (type classes) for functions, functors, monads, etc.
- deeper understanding of generic programming by contrasting Haskell and C++

Algebra of monadic dynamical systems

(or an Algebra of indexed co-algebras) Given sx :: x -> m x and sy :: y -> m y we define lockstep sx sy :: (x,y)-> m (x,y) (forward) Kleisli composition (>=>) :: (x -> m y)-> (y -> m z)-> (x -> m z)

# Communicating systems

The two systems sys1 and sys2 share a dependency on t. They both have their own "local" input ti and state xi. The two projection functions p1 and p2 implement a coupling between the two systems. In the combined systems the "local" inputs are hidden and the only remaining input is the global input t.